

## Annexe A: Project Status Dashboard

Status Date	08/01/2025
-------------	------------

Overall Project Portfolio RAG	<b>Amber</b>
-------------------------------	--------------

Overall Status Commentary
<p><b>Website redevelopment.</b> Working through last technical fixes, refinement of content and finalising launch plan to prepare for go live on 8 January 2025.</p> <p><b>Standards review</b> – Project to review the Standards for regulators and Accredited Registers. External engagement began in July 2024.</p> <p><b>Safeguarding</b> – Preparations are being made to collect evidence related to s.29 cases and from regulators to inform a future consultation. Uncertainty on scope and timing of the project remains owing to the anticipated UK Government response to the Bailey Review.</p> <p><b>Sexual misconduct</b> – First two presentation sessions on 6/09 and 24/09. Also a subtheme of research conference. Further sessions being planned.</p> <p><b>GTC(S) commission</b> – phase 2 underway, runs to end February 2025.</p>

### Project Portfolio Status Summary

Project / Programme	Owner / Lead	Start Date	Baselined End Date	Current End Date	Planned Budget	Current Expend.	Project RAG	Project Status Commentary
Website redevelopment	Melanie Venables	01/09/23	31/03/24	08/01/25	£143,200 (website plus project manager)	£81,220 (to end of September)	<b>A</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Last technical fixes underway.</li> <li>Liaising with current hosts to finalise plans for launch switchover</li> <li>Welsh language tool subscription agreed and ready to kick-in on launch</li> <li>Final refinements to content ahead of launch</li> <li>Some additional unplanned funds required to address technical issues and keep project on-track. This has been covered by the contingency</li> </ul>

Project / Programme	Owner / Lead	Start Date	Baselined End Date	Current End Date	Planned Budget	Current Expend.	Project RAG	Project Status Commentary
								set aside for the project from within the operational budget.
<b>Standards Review</b>	Graham Mockler	01/05/24	31/03/26	31/03/26	£0	£22,080	<b>A</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project Initiation Document approved by the Executive Leadership Team.</li> <li>Internal engagement complete.</li> <li>Engagement with external stakeholders commenced in July and continued to mid-September.</li> <li>Service-user focus groups completed by Patients Association and funded within existing budgets.</li> <li>Draft consultation document with the board for approval until 6 Jan 2025</li> <li>As we have undertaken pre-consultation engagement with the Board between November 24 - January 25, the public consultation is now expected to launch in February 25.</li> <li>We plan to use the July 25 board meeting to provide a review of the consultation analyses and approval of the response.</li> <li>We plan to use the November 25 board meeting to include approval of the revised Standards and implementation approach.</li> </ul>
<b>Strengthening safeguarding</b>	Melanie Venables, Graham Mockler	01/09/23	31/03/24	31/07/25	£0	£0	<b>A</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There remains uncertainty over timing and content of the UK Government's response to the Bailey review, which may affect the scope and timetable of the project.</li> </ul>

Project / Programme	Owner / Lead	Start Date	Baselined End Date	Current End Date	Planned Budget	Current Expend.	Project RAG	Project Status Commentary
								<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Extension of project close to coincide with Standards Review consultation response and provide more time for uncertainties over legal framework to resolve.</li> <li>• Survey of regulators prepared and ready to launch in January 2025.</li> <li>• First round of Section 29 data analysis is complete. We will now be reviewing more tranches of data over the course of the project.</li> <li>• Standards review consultation includes questions related to strengthening safeguarding.</li> </ul>
<b>Sexual misconduct project</b>	Douglas Bilton	01/06/24	31/12/25	31/12/25	£0	£0	<b>G</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dates for further discussion sessions to be publicised early in January</li> </ul>
<b>GTCS commission</b>	Douglas Bilton	07/05/24	31/12/24	14/03/25	n/a	n/a	<b>G</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Phase 2 of work completed – provisional headline findings submitted</li> <li>• With agreement of GTCS one element of the case file audit (on panel hearings) to be conducted in January</li> <li>• Draft full report to be submitted w/c 10 February 2025</li> <li>• Final version of report to be submitted w/c 10 March 2025</li> </ul>
<b>Right-touch regulation</b>	Douglas Bilton	5/11/24	30/09/25	30/09/25	£0	£0		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Project underway with early stakeholder conversations having taken place with further scheduled into January</li> </ul>

Project / Programme	Owner / Lead	Start Date	Baselined End Date	Current End Date	Planned Budget	Current Expend.	Project RAG	Project Status Commentary
								<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Discussion paper to be drafted in January 2025, released in February</li> </ul>

Key Risks	Mitigations
<p><b>Website redevelopment</b> – project overruns time allocated and is not completed by March 2024.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Launch will now be on 8 January 2025.</li> <li>Project Manager and Website Editor have worked directly with teams to finalise copy for their sections.</li> <li>Close working with developers over past few weeks to address technical and design fixes and additional resources and budget deployed as needed to achieve early January launch.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Website redevelopment</b> – new website does not deliver intended benefits</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>User and technical testing built into the development process.</li> <li>Additional user input gathered in July and their needs were fed into development. User research to be conducted in February after go-live.</li> <li>Copywriting services deployed to assist with consistency of tone and to improve Search Engine Optimisation</li> <li>Retainership will be in place with developers from January 2025 to ensure that any further adjustments needed can be implemented post go-live</li> </ul>
<p><b>Strengthening safeguarding</b> - If we do not fully understand how the regulators interact with others in the system about criminal records checks and disbarring, there could be negative unintended consequences of any new requirements we introduce.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Internal learning workshops to help understand legal implications of potential changes.</li> <li>Review of regulators' current arrangements included in project plan.</li> <li>Further consultation and engagement on any changes before implementation.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Sexual misconduct project</b> - Project does not adequately cover different aspects of this problem</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Statutory regulators and Accredited Registers to have an open invitation to comment on and propose themes, discussion subjects and sessions</li> <li>Other participants and stakeholders to be invited to suggest areas for discussion</li> <li>External stakeholders leading parallel workstreams of relevance to the subject to be invited to present</li> <li>Sexual misconduct included as a subtheme at research conference</li> </ul>
<p><b>GTC(S) commission</b> - Risk of capacity changes in PR team affecting timely delivery</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Income from work provides resource to implement a solution to any capacity problems should they arise</li> </ul>

<p><b>Standards Review</b> - Project overrunning due to requiring further additional actions such as further consultations</p> <p>Resources need to be redirected to performance review BAU</p> <p>An increase in AR assessments (e.g. new Standard One applications) may limit the time available for the project</p> <p>New ways of working by reviewing the Standards jointly may cause confusion when it comes to decision making</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Engagement with stakeholders ahead of and during consultation to gather wide insights. Project timeframes allow for period between publication and implementation date</li> <li>• Consider areas to be deprioritised / timeframe changed across PR and other projects.</li> <li>• Schedule assessments to avoid busy phases of project where possible.</li> <li>• Regular project team meetings to discuss and resolve emerging issues.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Right-touch regulation-</b> new version of <i>Right-touch regulation</i> does not capture or reflect views of stakeholders as to its contribution to future regulatory decision making</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Project has begun with discussion with some key stakeholders</li> <li>• A discussion document will be sent out for comment to a wide range of stakeholders in February 2025, building on the enhanced functionality of the SRM</li> </ul>

**Status Key:** ● On plan / budget    ● On / late to plan and / or within 10% of budget but with manageable risk    ● Late to plan and / or > 10% budget variance. Requiring re-plan or scope change